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Closed-loop ventilation mode (IntelliVent-ASV) in intensive care unit: a randomized trial of ventilation delivered


**Design**
Randomized controlled study, INTELLiVENT-ASV versus VPC + PSV

**Patients**
80 ICU patients ventilated for at least 48 h

**Objectives**
Compare safety, efficacy, and workload

**Main Results**
Ventilation parameters were similar in both groups except for PEEP (7 ±4 cmH2O vs 6 ±3 cmH2O with INTELLiVENT-ASV and conventional ventilation, respectively, p = 0.028) and PetCO2 (36 ±7 mmHg with INTELLiVENT-ASV vs 40 ±8 mmHg with conventional ventilation, p = 0.041). Safety was similar between INTELLiVENT-ASV and conventional ventilation for all parameters except for Pmax. Efficacy was comparable between the two ventilation strategies, except for SpO2 and Vt, which were more often optimal with INTELLiVENT-ASV (p = 0.005, p = 0.016, respectively). INTELLiVENT-ASV required fewer manual adjustments than conventional ventilation (p < 0.001) for a higher total number of adjustments (p < 0.001).

**Conclusion**
INTELLiVENT-ASV required fewer manual adjustments than conventional ventilation while delivering safe and effective ventilation

![Figure 1: Total number of adjustments including automatic adjustment is higher with INTELLiVENT-ASV](image-url)
Evaluation of fully automated ventilation: a randomized controlled study in post-cardiac surgery patients

Lellouche F, Bouchard PA, Simard S, L’Her E, Wysocki M

**Design**
Randomized controlled study, INTELLiVENT-ASV versus VC + PSV

**Patients**
60 post-cardiac surgery patients

**Objectives**
Measure the duration of ventilation within a "not acceptable" range of tidal volumes

**Main Results**
The percentage of time within the predefined zones of optimal, acceptable, and not acceptable ventilation were 12%, 81%, and 7% with PV; and 89.5%, 10%, and 0.5% with INTELLiVENT-ASV (p < 0.001). 148 interventions during PV and 5 interventions with INTELLiVENT-ASV (p < 0.001).

**Conclusion**
INTELLiVENT-ASV was safe, reduced the number of interventions, and provided more time in the optimal zone and less time in the not-acceptable zone, in hemodynamically stable patients after cardiac surgery.

*Figure 2:* INTELLiVENT-ASV delivered more optimal ventilation than protocolized ventilation. Protocolized ventilation delivered acceptable ventilation.
A prospective comparison of the efficacy and safety of fully closed-loop control ventilation (Intellivent-ASV) with conventional ASV and SIMV modes

Abutbul A, Sviri S, Zbedat W, Linton DM, van Heerden PV

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Prospective crossover study</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patients</td>
<td>20 ICU patients ventilated at least 24h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objectives</td>
<td>Compares the efficacy of INTELLiVENT-ASV, ASV, and SIMV on ventilation and oxygenation parameters, blood gases, and hemodynamic status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main Results</td>
<td>INTELLiVENT-ASV automatically selected higher PEEP (7.6 ±5 cmH2O) than the physician in ASV (5.1 ±2 cmH2O) and SIMV (5.2 ± 2cm H2O), lower FiO2 (35 ±70 %) than ASV (41 ±60%) and SIMV (41 ±60%). There was no difference in RR, Vt , MV, Pinsp, PO2, and hemodynamic status. In ASV, PCO2 levels were lower than in INTELLiVENT-ASV and SIMV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conclusion</td>
<td>INTELLiVENT-ASV provides better oxygenation efficiency than ASV and SIMV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment</td>
<td>Same PO2 with lower FiO2 implies better ventilation/perfusion ratio due to higher PEEP, without hemodynamic consequences</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Figure 3: INTELLiVENT-ASV automatically selected higher PEEP and lower FiO2 than physicians in ASV and SIMV*
Fully automated closed-loop ventilation is safe and effective in post-cardiac surgery patients

Beijers AJ, Roos AN, Bindels AJ
Intensive Care Med. 2014 May;40(5):752-3

**Design**
Prospective comparative non inferiority pilot study

**Patients**
128 low risk post cardiac surgery patients

**Objectives**
Compare safety and efficacy between INTELLiVENT-ASV, ASV, and conventional ventilation (PCV and PS)

**Main Results**
No ventilation-related safety issues. The number of interactions was lower in the INTELLiVENT-ASV group compared to the other groups. Duration of mechanical ventilation, reintubations, and desaturations were not different.

**Conclusion**
INTELLiVENT-ASV was safe and efficient in post cardiac surgery and required less interactions than conventional modes.

![Figure 4: INTELLiVENT-ASV needed fewer manual settings than either ASV or conventional ventilation](image-url)
Prospective randomized crossover study of a new closed-loop control system versus pressure support during weaning from mechanical ventilation

Anesthesiology. 2013 Sep;119(3):631-41

Design
Prospective, randomized, single-blind crossover study. Two periods of 24 h, randomly PSV or INTELLiVENT-ASV

Patients
14 patients during the weaning phase

Objectives
Evaluate oxygenation and variability in the ventilatory parameters

Main Results
The PaO2/ FiO2 ratio improved significantly from 245 ± 75 at baseline to 294 ±123 after 24 h of INTELLiVENT-ASV. The coefficient of variation of inspiratory pressure and positive end-expiratory pressure were significantly higher with INTELLiVENT-ASV, 16 [11-21] and 15 [7-23]% compared with 6 [5-7] and 7 [5-10]% in PSV. Inspiratory pressure, positive end-expiratory pressure, and FiO2 changes were adjusted significantly more often with INTELLiVENT-ASV compared with PSV.

Conclusion
INTELLiVENT-ASV improved oxygenation with more variability than PSV, mainly in Pinsp, PEEP, and FiO2

Figure 5: INTELLiVENT-ASV improved oxygenation in actively breathing ICU patients.
Feasibility study on full closed-loop control ventilation (INTELLiVENT-ASV) in ICU patients with acute respiratory failure: a prospective observational comparative study

Arnal JM, Garnero A, Novotni D, Demory D, Ducros L, Berric A, Donati SY, Corno G, Jaber S, Durand-Gasselin J
Crit Care. 2013 Sep 11;17(5):R196

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Prospective observational comparative feasibility study</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patients</td>
<td>100 unselected ICU patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objectives</td>
<td>Compare oxygenation and ventilation settings automatically selected by INTELLiVENT-ASV in 3 lung conditions: normal lungs, ARDS, and COPD, in passive and active patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main Results</td>
<td>No safety issues. Fully automated ventilation was used for 95% of the total ventilation time. In passive patients, Vt was significantly different between normal lung, ARDS, and COPD patients, 8.1 (7.3-8.9) ml/kg PBW; 7.5 (6.9-7.9) ml/kg PBW; 9.9 (8.3-11.1) ml/kg PBW, respectively. In passive ARDS patients, FiO2 and PEEP were statistically higher than passive normal lung (35 (33-47)% versus 30 (30-31)%), and 11 (8-13) cmH2O versus 5 (5-6) cmH2O, respectively. The ventilation controller was deactivated in 2 patients for 1 day (increased CO2 gradient). PEEP and FiO2 controllers were deactivated for 1 day in 7 patients because of poor SpO2 quality (5 shocks, 1 therapeutic hypothermia, 1 severe chronic arterial disease). The PEEP controller was deactivated in 3 patients (1 COPD, 1 pneumothorax, and 1 ARDS). The FiO2 controller was deactivated in one COPD patient (hyperoxia).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conclusion</td>
<td>INTELLiVENT-ASV was safely used in unselected ventilated ICU patients. Automatically selected oxygenation and ventilation settings were different according to the lung condition.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 6:** The ventilation parameter Vt was different according to lung condition in the passive patient subgroup. It was not significantly different in the active patient subgroup, as ventilation drive controlled volume and the respiratory rate. The oxygenation parameter PEEP was different according to lung condition in all patients (active and passive).
Safety and efficacy of a fully closed-loop control ventilation (INTELLiVENT-ASV) in sedated ICU patients with acute respiratory failure: a prospective randomized crossover study


**Design**  
Prospective randomized crossover comparative study. Two periods of 2 h randomly ASV or INTELLiVENT-ASV

**Patients**  
50 sedated ICU patients with ARDS

**Objectives**  
Assess the safety and efficacy of INTELLiVENT-ASV in passive patients

**Main Results**  
No safety issue. MV and Vt decreased from 7.6 (6.5-9.5) to 6.8 (6.0-8.0) l/min and from 8.3 (7.8-9.0) to 8.1 (7.7-8.6) ml/kg PBW during INTELLiVENT-ASV as compared to ASV. Pplat and FiO2 decreased from 24 (20-29) to 20 (19-25) cmH2O and from 40 (30-50) to 30 (30-39)% during INTELLiVENT-ASV as compared to ASV. RR, Pinsp, and PEEP decreased during INTELLiVENT-ASV as compared to ASV. Respiratory mechanics and blood gases were not different except for PaCO2, which was higher during INTELLiVENT-ASV.

**Conclusion**  
INTELLiVENT-ASV was safe in passive patients with acute respiratory failure

![Figure 7: INTELLiVENT-ASV decreased Pinsp and Vt compared with ASV](image-url)
### A rational framework for selecting modes of ventilation

Mireles-Cabodevila E, Hatipoğlu U, Chatburn RL  
Respir Care. 2013 Feb;58(2):348-66 Erratum in Respir Care. 2013 Apr;58(4):e51  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Review</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patients</td>
<td>All ICU patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objectives</td>
<td>Describe and compare ventilatory modes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main Results</td>
<td>INTELLiVENT-ASV is PC-IMV(OI,OI) [order- family(genus, species)]: pressure control, intermittent mandatory ventilation, optimal intelligence for the primary and secondary breaths targeting schemes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conclusion</td>
<td>INTELLiVENT-ASV is first among all modes in terms of safety (ventilation and oxygenation optimization), comfort, and weaning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Adaptive support ventilation with and without end-tidal CO2 closed loop control versus conventional ventilation

Sulemanji DS, Marchese A, Wysocki M, Kacmarek RM  
Intensive Care Med. 2013 Apr;39(4):703-10  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Comparative Simulation study</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patients</td>
<td>Lungs model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objectives</td>
<td>Compare PC, VC, ASV and ASV with ventilation controller in 4 clinical scenarios: Normal lung, COPD, ARDS and brain injury; with 2 points of interest: capnia and Pplat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main Results</td>
<td>Ventilation parameters in normal lungs, brain injury, and COPD were similar for all modes. In ARDS, capnia was higher in ASV with the ventilation controller than in other modes, Pplat in ASV and ASV with ventilation controller were lower than in VC.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conclusion</td>
<td>PC, VC, ASV, and ASV with ventilation controller performed similarly in most cases. In ARDS patients, ASV with ventilation controller delivered safer ventilation.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A pilot prospective study on closed loop controlled ventilation and oxygenation in ventilated children during the weaning phase.

Crit Care. 2012 May 16;16(3):R85

**Design**
Prospective observational safety study 5 periods: PSV, ASV, ASV with ventilation controller, INTELLiVENT-ASV, PSV.

**Patients**
15 childrens, more than 7 Kg during the weaning phase

**Objectives**
Assess the safety by the percentage of time in normal ventilation

**Main Results**
No adverse events. Vt, RR, Pinsp, and MV were equivalent for all modalities. The percentage of time in normal ventilation were equivalent for all modalities. The PEEP controller needs further investigation.

**Conclusion**
ASV with ventilation controller and INTELLiVENT-ASV were safe and kept children under normal ventilation most of the time

**Comment**
The large ranges of normal ventilation in pediatric patients were probably responsible for the similarity between the groups.
Ventilation with lower tidal volumes as compared with traditional tidal volumes for acute lung injury and acute respiratory distress syndrome

The Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome Network

Design
Multicenter randomized controlled study lower Vt (6 ml/Kg) et Pplat (30 cmH2O) versus traditional Vt (12 mL/Kg) et Pplat (50 cmH2O)

Patients
861 patients with ARDS

Objectives
Compare protective ventilation and traditional ventilation on clinical outcomes

Main Results
Mortality was lower in the lower Vt group than in the group treated with traditional tidal volumes (31.0 % vs. 39.8 %), and the number of days without ventilator during the first 28 days was greater in this group (12 ±11 vs. 10 ±11)

Conclusion
The "ARDSNet table" supports the way in which the oxygenation controller increases PEEP and FiO2

Figure 8: Probability of survival and of discharge were higher in the lower Vt group than in the traditional Vt group.
Higher versus lower positive end-expiratory pressures in patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome

Brower RG, Lanken PN, MacIntyre N, Matthay MA, Morris A, Ancukiewicz M, Schoenfeld D, Thompson BT; National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute ARDS Clinical Trials Network

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Design</strong></th>
<th>Randomized controlled study lower versus higher PEEP levels, according to different tables of predetermined combinations of PEEP and FiO2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Patients</strong></td>
<td>549 patients with ARDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Objectives</strong></td>
<td>Compare the effects of higher and lower PEEP levels on clinical outcomes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Main Results</strong></td>
<td>PEEP was 8 cmH2O in the lower-PEEP group and 13 cmH2O in the higher-PEEP group. Hospital deaths were 24.9% and 27.5%, respectively. From day 1 to day 28, breathing was unassisted for a mean of 14.5 ±10.4 days in the lower-PEEP group and 13.8 ±10.6 days in the higher-PEEP group.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Conclusion</strong></td>
<td>This study supports the high PEEP-FiO2 table used by the oxygenation controller for decreasing treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Comment</strong></td>
<td>PEEP was not set according to recruitability. However, a high PEEP in patients with low potential of recruitability has a negative effect, and low PEEP in patients with high potential of recruitability is harmful.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Conservative oxygen therapy in mechanically ventilated patients: a pilot before-and-after trial

Suzuki S, Eastwood GM, Glassford NJ, Peck L, Young H, Garcia-Alvarez M, Schneider AG, Bellomo R

**Design**
Before-After study

**Patients**
108 ICU patients ventilated for more than 48h

**Objectives**
Compare 2 oxygenation goals: prescribed by clinician and SpO2 = 90-92%

**Main Results**
During period with "SpO2 = 90-92%", the SpO2, PaO2, and FiO2 were lower than during "prescribed goal" (95.5%, 83 torr and, 0.27 versus 98.4%, 107 torr, and 0.40, respectively). "SpO2 = 90-92%", decreased the total amount of oxygen delivered by two thirds (16 L vs 5 L; p < 0.001). The PaO2/FIO2 ratio was similar during the 2 periods. There were no differences in biochemical or clinical outcomes

**Conclusion**
Oxygenation goal "SpO2 = 90-92%" in mechanically ventilated ICU patients was feasible and safe, and decrease the oxygen consumption.
### Optimal duration of a sustained inflation recruitment maneuver in ARDS patients

**Arnal JM, Paquet J, Wysocki M, Demory D, Donati S, Granier I, Corno G, Durand-Gasselin J**  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Design</strong></th>
<th>Prospective study</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Patients</strong></td>
<td>50 patients with ARDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Objectives</strong></td>
<td>Measure the dynamics of recruitment and the hemodynamic status during a sustained inflation recruitment maneuver</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Main Results</strong></td>
<td>Time constant was 2.3 ±1.3 s = most of recruitment occured in the first 10 s. Hemodynamic status was maintained for 10 s then decreased significantly.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Conclusion</strong></td>
<td>In early-onset ARDS patients, a sustained inflation RM for 10 s was sufficient and safe. Supports the method used for automatic recruitment maneuver</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Higher vs lower positive end-expiratory pressure in patients with acute lung injury and acute respiratory distress syndrome: systematic review and meta-analysis

*JAMA.* 2010 Mar 3;303(9):865-73  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Design</strong></th>
<th>Meta-Analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Patients</strong></td>
<td>2299 patients with ARDS 3 trials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Objectives</strong></td>
<td>Evaluate the association of higher vs lower PEEP with patient outcomes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Main Results</strong></td>
<td>Mortality was 32.9% in patients assigned to the higher PEEP group and 35.2% in the lower PEEP group (adjusted relative risk = 0.94; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.86-1.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Conclusion</strong></td>
<td>Higher levels of PEEP were associated with improved survival in ARDS patients. This open-lung concept supports the way in which the oxygenation controller decreases PEEP and FiO2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
BTS guideline for emergency oxygen use in adult patients

O'Driscoll BR, Howard LS, Davison AG; British Thoracic Society
Thorax. 2008 Oct;63 Suppl 6:vi1-68

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Guidelines</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patients</td>
<td>Critically ill patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objectives</td>
<td>Summarize the oxygen prescription</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conclusion</td>
<td>Target saturation 94-98% Except for patients with chronic hypercapnia 88-92% Supports the SpO2 target used by the oxygenation controller</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Low mortality rate in adult respiratory distress syndrome using low-volume, pressure-limited ventilation with permissive hypercapnia: a prospective study

Hickling KG, Walsh J, Henderson S, Jackson R
Crit Care Med. 1994 Oct;22(10):1568-78

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Prospective descriptive study of ventilation management with limitation of peak inspiratory pressure and the use of low tidal volumes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patients</td>
<td>53 severe ARDS patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objectives</td>
<td>Evaluate the outcomes in patients with severe adult respiratory distress syndrome who are managed with reduction of regional lung overdistention and permissive hypercapnia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main Results</td>
<td>The mean maximum PaCO2 was 66.5 mmHg (range 38 to 158 mmHg) and the mean arterial pH was 7.23 (range 6.79 to 7.45). The hospital mortality rate was significantly lower than that predicted by the APACHE II scores (26.4% vs. 53.3%, p = .004), even after correcting the latter for the effect of hypercapnic acidosis (26.4% vs. 51.1%). Mortality increased with the number of organ failures: 43% in patients with ≥ 4 failures, 20.5% with ≤ 3 failures, and 6.6% with only respiratory failure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conclusion</td>
<td>Supports the permissive hypercapnia concept used by the ventilation controller when Pinsp is above 25 cmH2O</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Bench-to-bedside review: hypercapnic acidosis in lung injury: from 'permissive' to 'therapeutic'

Ijland MM, Heunks LM, van der Hoeven JG

**Design**  
Review

**Conclusion**  
Explains the physiopathology and benefits of permissive hypercapnia
The work of breathing

OTIS AB
Physiol Rev. 1954 Jul;34(3):449-58

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Physiological study</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conclusion</td>
<td>Supports the ASV principle of selecting a Vt-RR combination according to the least work of breathing principle</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>